



**Planet Depos®**  
We Make It *Happen*™

---

# Transcript of Clifford E. Stoops, II

**Date:** April 27, 2021

**Case:** UBS Securities LLC, et al. -v- Highland Capital Management, L.P.

**Planet Depos**

**Phone:** 888.433.3767

**Email:** [transcripts@planetdepos.com](mailto:transcripts@planetdepos.com)

**www.planetdepos.com**

Transcript of Clifford E. Stoops, II  
Conducted on April 27, 2021

1 (1 to 4)

1	1	1	3
2	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	2	A P P E A R A N C E S
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	3	ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS UBS SECURITIES LLC
4	DALLAS DIVISION	4	AND UBS AG LONDON BRANCH:
5	-----x	5	SHANNON E. MCLAUGHLIN, ESQ.
6	In re : Chapter 11	6	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
7	HIGHLAND CAPITAL : Case No. 19-34054-SGJ11	7	885 Third Avenue
8	MANAGEMENT, L.P., :	8	New York, New York 10022-4834
9	Debtor. :	9	212.906.1200
10	-----x	10	
11	UBS SECURITIES LLC AND : Adversary Proceeding	11	ANDREW CLUBOK, ESQ.
12	UBS AG LONDON BRANCH : No. 21-03020-SGJ	12	SARAH TOMKOWIAK, ESQ.
13	Plaintiffs, :	13	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
14	v. :	14	555 Eleventh Street, NW
15	HIGHLAND CAPITAL :	15	Suite 1000
16	MANAGEMENT, L.P., :	16	Washington, D.C. 20004-1304
17	Defendant. :	17	202.637.2200
18	-----x	18	
19	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CLIFFORD E. STOOPS, II	19	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT
20	Conducted Virtually	20	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.:
21	Tuesday, April 27, 2021	21	ROBERT J. FEINSTEIN, ESQ.
22	10:14 a.m. EDT	22	GREGORY V. DEMO, ESQ.
23	Job No.: 368733	23	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
24	Pages: 1 - 83	24	780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor
25	Reported by: Monique Vouthouris, CCR, RPR, CRR	25	New York, New York 10017-2024
			212.561.7700
1	2	1	4
2		2	A P P E A R A N C E S C O N T I N U E D
3		3	ON BEHALF OF THE WITNESS CLIFFORD E. STOOPS, II:
4		4	ROBERT M. THORNTON, ESQ.
5		5	KILGORE & KILGORE PLLC
6	REMOTE VIDEOTAPED deposition of CLIFFORD E.	6	3109 Carlisle Street
7	STOOPS, II, pursuant to subpoena, before Monique	7	Dallas, Texas 75204
8	Vouthouris, CCR, RPR, CRR, Notary Public in and for	8	214.969.9099
9	the States of New Jersey and New York.	9	
10		10	ALSO PRESENT:
11	Witness Location:	11	CHESTER WONG, Planet Depos Videographer
12	Dallas, Texas	12	SARAH LOILER, Planet Depos Technician
13		13	
14		14	
15		15	
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	

Transcript of Clifford E. Stoops, II  
Conducted on April 27, 2021

2 (5 to 8)

1	C O N T E N T S	5	1	access them on breaks. But if Mr. Thornton is in the	7
2	EXAMINATION OF CLIFFORD E. STOOPS, II	PAGE	2	breakout room, that's why he's -- the audio is coming	
3	By Ms. McLaughlin	8	3	from Mr. Thornton's end. That's why the witness can't	
4			4	hear us.	
5			5	MR. CLUBOK: Yeah, I did the same thing by	
6			6	mistake, too, but I came back. Someone needs to tell	
7	E X H I B I T S		7	Bob probably he needs to leave the breakout room and	
8	(Attached to transcript.)		8	return to -- uh-oh, we lost --	
9	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS	PAGE	9	THE TECHNICIAN: It's because he joined as	
10	Exhibit 1 Email October 26, 2017 from Isaac	22	10	well.	
11	Leventon to Chris Dunn with		11	MR. CLUBOK: Okay. Can someone join and	
12	attachment.		12	tell them they need to just click on "leave the	
13	Exhibit 2 Purchase Agreement August 7,	27	13	breakout room" and come back to the meeting.	
14	2017.		14	THE COURT REPORTER: Let's go off the	
15	Exhibit 3 Email chain September 12, 2018,	38	15	record.	
16	with attachment.		16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sure. We are going off	
17	Exhibit 4 Email chain August 11, 2017,	42	17	the record. The time is 10:15 a.m.	
18	HCMUBS000563 and 564.		18	(Recess 10:15 a.m. - 10:17 a.m.)	
19	Exhibit 5 Email chain August 11, 2017,	52	19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Would all	
20	HCMUBS000567 and 568.		20	parties present in this videoconference please voice	
21	Exhibit 6 Email chain August 11, 2017,	71	21	identify themselves and state whom they represent.	
22	HCMUBS000642 through 644.		22	MS. McLAUGHLIN: My name is Shannon	
23	Exhibit 7 Email chain December 1, 2017.	76	23	McLaughlin, I'm with Latham & Watkins LLP, and I'm	
24			24	here today with Sarah Tomkowiak and Andrew Clubok. We	
25			25	represent UBS Securities and UBS AG London Branch.	
1	P R O C E E D I N G S	6	1	MR. FEINSTEIN: My name is Robert Feinstein,	8
2			2	Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones, LLP. We are counsel	
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins Media Number		3	for the Debtor and the Defendant in this adversary,	
4	1 of the remote videotaped deposition of Clifford		4	Highland Capital Management, L.P., and with me is my	
5	Stoops in the matter of UBS Securities, LLC, et al.		5	colleague Gregory Demo.	
6	versus Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the		6	MR. THORNTON: And I'm Robert Thornton.	
7	United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern		7	I'll be here as a representative of the witness,	
8	District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number		8	Clifford Stoops.	
9	19-34054-SGJ11.		9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. The court	
10	Today's date is 27th of April 2021. The		10	reporter today is Monique Vouthouris on behalf of	
11	time on the video monitor is 10:14 a.m. The		11	Planet Depos. Would the reporter please swear in the	
12	videographer for today is Chester Wong, on behalf of		12	witness.	
13	Planet Depos. All participants of this video		13	CLIFFORD E. STOOPS, II	
14	deposition are attending remotely.		14	being first duly sworn or affirmed by the Notary,	
15	Would all parties present in this		15	testifies as follows:	
16	videoconference please voice identify themselves and		16	EXAMINATION	
17	state whom they represent.		17	BY MS. McLAUGHLIN:	
18	MS. McLAUGHLIN: My name is Shannon		18	Q Good morning, Mr. Stoops. Can you still	
19	McLaughlin, I represent UBS Securities and UBS AG		19	hear me okay?	
20	London Branch, and I'm joined today by Sarah Tomkowiak		20	A Yes.	
21	and --		21	Q Perfect. Now, prior to today you and I have	
22	THE TECHNICIAN: I'm sorry to interrupt. I		22	never spoken. Is that right?	
23	think the witness is having a problem hearing us. I		23	A Correct.	
24	believe the problem is that Mr. Thornton joined the		24	Q And while we're doing this virtually, I'm	
25	breakout room. They were opened so that people can		25	going to do my best to speak loudly, but please let me	

<p>9</p> <p>1 know if at any point you can't hear me.</p> <p>2 Are you aware of anything today that would</p> <p>3 prevent you from testifying competently and</p> <p>4 truthfully?</p> <p>5 A No.</p> <p>6 Q We're going to start with some brief</p> <p>7 background about your employment history. Is it</p> <p>8 correct that you began working at Highland Financial</p> <p>9 Partners, L.P. in 2006?</p> <p>10 A Technically it was Highland Capital</p> <p>11 Management working on Highland Financial Partners.</p> <p>12 Q Thank you.</p> <p>13 A So not an employee of Highland Financial</p> <p>14 Partners, but that was the fund and fund complex I was</p> <p>15 working on as an employee of Highland Capital</p> <p>16 Management. But the date is correct.</p> <p>17 Q Thank you. I appreciate that. Your work</p> <p>18 with Highland Financial Partners, did that also</p> <p>19 involve work with Highland Financial Partners</p> <p>20 subsidiaries, such as Highland Special Opportunities</p> <p>21 Holding Company, Highland CDO Holding Company and</p> <p>22 Highland Financial Corp.?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q In 2012 is it correct that you moved to</p> <p>25 Highland Capital Management, L.P. in a more general</p>	<p>11</p> <p>1 Q At the time that you were employed at</p> <p>2 Highland, were you also -- did you also have</p> <p>3 responsibilities with Highland CDO Opportunity Master</p> <p>4 Fund LP?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q And you also had responsibilities with</p> <p>7 NexPoint Real Estate Strategies Fund. Is that</p> <p>8 correct?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q Did you receive separate compensation for</p> <p>11 your role with these various entities?</p> <p>12 A No.</p> <p>13 Q So your checks issued only from Highland</p> <p>14 Capital?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q If one of Highland's affiliated or managed</p> <p>17 funds had paid you directly, would you have considered</p> <p>18 that to be unusual?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q Since leaving Highland in April 2020, you've</p> <p>21 worked at a publicly traded company. Is that right?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q And your present employer is not managed by</p> <p>24 Highland Capital. Is that true?</p> <p>25 A No, it is not. Yes, it's true.</p>
<p>10</p> <p>1 sense?</p> <p>2 A Technically it was 2009, but in 2012 I</p> <p>3 received a title change around that move.</p> <p>4 Q And did your title become chief accounting</p> <p>5 officer?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q While you were at Highland Capital, you also</p> <p>8 had responsibilities with other funds that were</p> <p>9 managed by Highland. Is that true?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q Those responsibilities included with</p> <p>12 Highland Financial Partners and its subsidiaries?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q And did you keep those responsibilities with</p> <p>15 Highland Financial until the time you left Highland in</p> <p>16 April 2020?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q While an employee of Highland, were you also</p> <p>19 involved with the Highland institutional funds as</p> <p>20 their head of accounting -- fund accounting? Excuse</p> <p>21 me.</p> <p>22 A And by "institutional," for clarification,</p> <p>23 you're asking about the hedge funds, so to speak?</p> <p>24 Q Um-hmm, yes.</p> <p>25 A Yes, yes, that's correct.</p>	<p>12</p> <p>1 Q Excellent. Thank you. Your present</p> <p>2 employer is not owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero?</p> <p>3 A No.</p> <p>4 Q Your present employer is also not owned or</p> <p>5 controlled by Mr. Ellington?</p> <p>6 A No.</p> <p>7 Q And since leaving Highland Capital in April</p> <p>8 2020, you haven't had any communications with</p> <p>9 Mr. Dondero or Mr. Ellington. Is that correct?</p> <p>10 A Correct. I have not had any communications</p> <p>11 with either.</p> <p>12 Q We'll talk a little bit about Sentinel</p> <p>13 Reinsurance Limited now. Are you familiar with an</p> <p>14 entity called Sentinel Reinsurance Limited?</p> <p>15 A Roughly.</p> <p>16 Q When did you --</p> <p>17 A On a limited basis.</p> <p>18 Q When did you get this limited understanding</p> <p>19 of Sentinel?</p> <p>20 A Generally I recall it being somewhere</p> <p>21 around, my first introduction to it being around</p> <p>22 August of 2017.</p> <p>23 Q When -- in August of 2017, what did you</p> <p>24 learn about Sentinel that caused you to become aware</p> <p>25 of it?</p>

<p>13</p> <p>1 A I was informed that Sentinel would be a</p> <p>2 party to a transaction involving a legal insurance</p> <p>3 policy and they would be the insurance provider for</p> <p>4 this policy that was to be issued to Highland</p> <p>5 Financial Partners and its subsidiaries and to CDO</p> <p>6 Fund and its subsidiaries relating to an outstanding</p> <p>7 legal matter.</p> <p>8 Q And who did this conversation happen with?</p> <p>9 Who informed you of this?</p> <p>10 A I was informed by JP Sevilla and also</p> <p>11 present was, I recall to some degree, Rick Swadley was</p> <p>12 also present.</p> <p>13 Q And how did this conversation come about?</p> <p>14 A I don't recall the specifics, meaning I</p> <p>15 don't think it was like a scheduled meeting. I think</p> <p>16 it was an ad hoc meeting that occurred at some point</p> <p>17 during the day in which JP came up and asked to speak</p> <p>18 with me, and to some degree Rick got incorporated into</p> <p>19 the conversation. And it occurred in a conference</p> <p>20 room just off of where my groups operated.</p> <p>21 Q And so it was Mr. Sevilla that initiated the</p> <p>22 conversation --</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q Do you remember about how long this meeting</p> <p>25 took place for?</p>	<p>15</p> <p>1 are you referring to a litigation that UBS initiated</p> <p>2 against Highland and some of its affiliated funds in</p> <p>3 the New York State courts?</p> <p>4 A I'm not sure of the venue being New York,</p> <p>5 but, yes, generally yes to everything else.</p> <p>6 Q Do you recall that litigation starting in</p> <p>7 approximately 2009?</p> <p>8 A Yes. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q And in August 2017 in your conversation with</p> <p>10 Mr. Sevilla, is this the first time you had heard</p> <p>11 about a legal liability insurance policy being brought</p> <p>12 up?</p> <p>13 A Yes. To my recollection. If there are any</p> <p>14 documents indicating otherwise, I'd love to see them.</p> <p>15 But, yes, to my recollection, that was the first time</p> <p>16 I had ever heard of it.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. And do you remember what</p> <p>18 Mr. Sevilla's role was in 2017?</p> <p>19 A Like formal -- like formal title, lawyer,</p> <p>20 legal counsel. He might have been assistant general</p> <p>21 counsel, AGC, something along those lines. But sort</p> <p>22 of to break that down, he was an, you know, an active</p> <p>23 lawyer, in-house legal counsel for Highland Capital.</p> <p>24 Q And what about -- I think you had mentioned</p> <p>25 Rick Swadley. Does that (inaudible) --</p>
<p>14</p> <p>1 A I thought it was around -- I recall it being</p> <p>2 somewhere around like two hours. Several hours.</p> <p>3 Q Several hours?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q During that conversation what did</p> <p>6 Mr. Sevilla inform you of?</p> <p>7 A That in the matter relating to Highland</p> <p>8 Financial Partners and CDO Fund and UBS, that we</p> <p>9 collectively, meaning the Highland side, were nearing</p> <p>10 an agreement or legal resolution that would involve a</p> <p>11 large monetary payment in settlement for that legal</p> <p>12 resolution. And I don't recall specifically if it was</p> <p>13 a judgment or if it was a settlement or some</p> <p>14 combination.</p> <p>15 But I just recall specifically it was an air</p> <p>16 -- had an air of finality to it, that it would bring</p> <p>17 to close all of the outstanding legal matters and it</p> <p>18 was a large -- a large number. And to effect that, we</p> <p>19 would have to basically use the assets in both HFP and</p> <p>20 its subsidiaries and the CDO Fund and its subsidiaries</p> <p>21 to effectively pay for that insurance policy.</p> <p>22 Q All right. There's a lot there, so we're</p> <p>23 going to break it down into smaller pieces.</p> <p>24 A Sure.</p> <p>25 Q The legal action that you're talking about,</p>	<p>16</p> <p>1 A Rick Swadley's role was I think director of</p> <p>2 tax, tax compliance.</p> <p>3 Q And during this conversation you mentioned</p> <p>4 that they were discussing something with urgency or a</p> <p>5 finality about it. Did you understand -- or what did</p> <p>6 you understand to be the final piece or what was the</p> <p>7 finality?</p> <p>8 A Well, it was the -- the -- ultimately, it</p> <p>9 would be the execution of that -- whatever legal</p> <p>10 agreement, the settlement. I'll just -- for lack of a</p> <p>11 better phrase or specificity here, let's assume it was</p> <p>12 a settlement discussion. That was the end goal as</p> <p>13 explained to me. And so the sense of urgency around</p> <p>14 it was it was a large number and the two funds had</p> <p>15 extremely illiquid assets that, you know, maybe for</p> <p>16 whatever reason UBS wasn't interested in those, it</p> <p>17 wanted cash on the barrel.</p> <p>18 So to get the cash needed to get UBS to</p> <p>19 sign, we had to translate those assets, you know,</p> <p>20 somehow, for lack of a better -- translate those</p> <p>21 assets into a cash equivalent that met the</p> <p>22 expectations of UBS. And so the urgency was around</p> <p>23 how do you do that. And that's what -- when it was</p> <p>24 explained to us the need for the insurance policy,</p> <p>25 that the insurance company would receive these assets</p>

<p>17</p> <p>1 and in return would issue this insurance policy 2 against which it agreed to pay any sort of final 3 settlement agreement, you know, presumably in cash, 4 directly to UBS. 5 And so the urgency was around, you know, 6 effecting the transfer of the assets to the insurance 7 company so they had them in hand and were willing to 8 issue the insurance policy for UBS to get comfortable 9 with it. 10 Q There's a lot there again, so we're going 11 to -- 12 A Sure. 13 Q -- try to get some of the smaller pieces. 14 You've mentioned that there were -- there 15 were going to be some asset transfers. Is that 16 correct? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Why would Mr. Sevilla have approached you 19 about asset transfers? 20 A In my position I was responsible for the 21 accounting, you know, the books and records, plus the 22 operations. And so given the sort of mixed bag of 23 assets, the transfer of the assets wouldn't be as 24 straightforward as, you know, one might presume, and 25 it would have been up to my team, my groups to sort of</p>	<p>19</p> <p>1 correct? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And Mr. Sevilla began talking about this 4 transfer. What -- what assets did he want you to 5 transfer? 6 A All of them, all of them in HFP and all of 7 them in CDO Fund. 8 Q Did he also want you to transfer any assets 9 from Highland CDO Opportunity Master Fund? 10 A When I say CDO Fund, that's generally what 11 I'm referencing. CDO Fund complex had -- again, I 12 acknowledge, I think you're saying Highland CDO Holdco 13 under HFP, and it sounds very much like CDO Fund. But 14 when I say CDO Fund, I'm referencing the CDO 15 Opportunity Master Fund and its subsidiaries. So the 16 answer to your question is yes. 17 Q Okay. I'm going to try to use HFP Funds and 18 CDO Funds to collectively mean what you just said, 19 Highland Financial and subsidiary -- Highland CDO 20 Master Fund and its subsidiaries. 21 A Understood. 22 Q Okay. And Mr. Sevilla asked you to transfer 23 the funds -- or transfer the assets from HFP Funds and 24 the CDO Funds to which entity? 25 A During that conversation it was just sort of</p>
<p>18</p> <p>1 effect those transfers in their different capacities 2 or in their different, you know -- in their different 3 states in which they existed, you know, whether it be 4 an LP interest or cash or DTC-held securities, et 5 cetera. 6 Q You mentioned that you were responsible for 7 accounting. Which entity were you responsible for the 8 accounting of? 9 A So if you look at Highland as a whole, you 10 could separate it between two different groups. You 11 have Highland and related advisors, what we sort of 12 loosely called the management company. And then you 13 have the investment vehicles. Those are the vehicles 14 in which investors put their money and the assets are 15 managed. 16 I generally was responsible for the books 17 and records for most but not all of the investment 18 vehicles managed by Highland and several of its 19 advisors. I was not responsible for the accounting 20 for Highland as a management company, nor the 21 operations of Highland as a management company. 22 Q And in August 2017 when Mr. Sevilla 23 approached you, he was coming to you because you had 24 responsibility for the accounting of Highland 25 Financial Partners and its subsidiaries. Is that</p>	<p>20</p> <p>1 loosely described as Sentinel. In other words, we 2 would be transferring these assets from the two funds, 3 HFP and CDO Fund, to Sentinel. We didn't necessarily 4 get into the actual here's the name of the company, 5 here's the, you know, instructions, et cetera. We 6 discussed that later. But generally Sentinel, the 7 insurance company, who would be issuing the policy. 8 Q And how was that transaction supposed to 9 work? 10 A The transfer of the assets or the insurance 11 policy? 12 Q Do you have knowledge of both? 13 A I have knowledge of the transfer of assets. 14 The Sentinel policy I just have a very, very limited 15 knowledge of based on what was explained to me in that 16 conversation in the conference room. 17 Q What was explained to you in the conference 18 room about the insurance policy? We can start there. 19 A That we would -- that we were in discussions 20 with UBS at the time regarding a settlement or legal 21 resolution. It would involve a large monetary 22 payment. But for whatever reason, the assets held by 23 the two relevant funds wouldn't work in this due to 24 the illiquid nature, in their current form wouldn't 25 work due to the illiquid nature of the assets, so we</p>



<p style="text-align: right;">21</p> <p>1 had to come up with some way to monetize them, and we 2 didn't think we had time, and so this insurance policy 3 was the best solution. 4 And the intent was to transfer the assets to 5 the insurance company Sentinel. Sentinel would then 6 under some sort of, you know, arrangement -- I 7 wouldn't really -- the transfer would be governed by 8 some arrangement, and then Sentinel would issue a 9 policy in the names of the two funds. And then when 10 this legal resolution was finalized, Sentinel would 11 pay up to a hundred million dollars to UBS with 12 respect to this legal resolution. That's my 13 understanding of the policy and kind of the way it 14 worked. 15 And so then my part in it was my team, 16 various teams, actually both accounting and 17 operations, would facilitate the various transfers of 18 the different types of assets. And so during that 19 two-hour discussion -- I think it was during that 20 discussion, I seem to recall that -- we had a rough 21 list of the assets and we kind of walked through what 22 it would take to effect the transfer of each of the 23 assets. It wasn't -- the way you transfer one 24 wouldn't necessarily transfer all of them, so we had 25 to group them in different buckets and figure out the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">23</p> <p>1 deposition you're taking and the questions you're 2 asking. 3 So to be clear, Highland is not going to 4 assert the attorney-client privilege to preclude 5 inquiry into the matters that I think are summarized 6 in paragraphs 5 through 11 of a motion that the 7 company recently filed in the bankruptcy to approve 8 its settlement with UBS outlining facts relevant to 9 the Sentinel insurance policy and so forth. That's at 10 docket number 2199. This is not a blanket waiver of 11 the privilege. It is transactional, if you will, as 12 to those transactions that are summarized in those 13 paragraphs, and that's the extent of the waiver and 14 non-assertion of the privilege. Thank you. 15 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Mr. Feinstein. 16 Could we please turn to the last page of 17 Exhibit 1. 18 BY MS. McLAUGHLIN: 19 Q Now, Mr. Stoops, do you see at the top here 20 where it lists Sentinel Reinsurance Limited as the 21 insurer signed by Andrew Dean? 22 A Yes. 23 Q And below that it lists insureds and then 24 has three entities all signed by Jim Dondero on behalf 25 of Highland CDO Opportunity Master Fund, L.P.,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">22</p> <p>1 best way to transfer the assets. 2 And so we spent a material amount of time in 3 that discussion covering that component of it and the 4 estimated amount of time it would take to potentially, 5 you know, finalize all the transfers. 6 MS. McLAUGHLIN: And if we can put Exhibit 1 7 on the screen, please. 8 (Deposition Exhibit 1, Email October 26, 9 2017 from Isaac Leventon to Chris Dunn with 10 attachment, marked for identification.) 11 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Thank you. 12 Q Exhibit 1 is a 19-page document. It's an 13 email from Isaac Leventon dated October 26th, 2017, 14 attaching what's entitled a Legal Liability Insurance 15 Policy. Do you see the document on your screen, 16 Mr. Stoops? 17 A Yes. 18 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Can we please go to page 2. 19 MR. FEINSTEIN: Excuse me. Shannon, before 20 you pose the next question -- it's Robert Feinstein, 21 for the record, counsel for Highland. The -- 22 Mr. Leventon is an in-house lawyer. Mr. Sevilla is as 23 well. I thought this would be an appropriate point to 24 put on the record Highland's view as to the 25 applicability of the attorney-client privilege to the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">24</p> <p>1 Highland CDO Holding Company and Highland Special 2 Opportunities Holdings Company. Do you see that as 3 well? 4 A Yes. 5 Q And are these the parties that you 6 understood to be -- excuse me. 7 Are these the entities that you understood 8 to be parties in the transaction Mr. Sevilla described 9 to you in August 2017? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Have you ever seen this policy at the time 12 you were discussing it with Mr. Sevilla? 13 A I don't recall it. I don't. But, again, if 14 you've got a document that indicates I did, I'd be 15 happy to see it. I don't recall specifically seeing 16 the policy, no. But I may have just passing in an 17 email, but I don't recall specifically seeing it, no. 18 Q Do you now understand that this is the 19 policy Mr. Sevilla was referring to at that time? 20 A It -- yeah, I mean, it generally appears to 21 be and capture the salient terms that were sort of 22 discussed orally with me at the time, you know, in the 23 conference room, yes. 24 Q And do you know who Andrew Dean is? 25 A No. That's -- no. To my knowledge, I have</p>

<p>25</p> <p>1 no previous experience with him in any capacity.</p> <p>2 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Can we please go one page</p> <p>3 prior to this, to page 18.</p> <p>4 Q It looks here towards the bottom of the page</p> <p>5 do you see where it lists the indemnity limit of \$100</p> <p>6 million?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Do you also see it lists a premium of \$25</p> <p>9 million?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q Now, do you know how either figure was</p> <p>12 determined?</p> <p>13 A No.</p> <p>14 Q Do you know whether this premium was paid?</p> <p>15 A No, I -- no. No. I was -- I think this was</p> <p>16 kind of part of our understanding how the mechanics</p> <p>17 would work on everything during the lengthy</p> <p>18 discussion. But we really -- I don't recall</p> <p>19 specifically getting into the premium aspect of it.</p> <p>20 I -- I -- honestly, I don't recall the 25 million in</p> <p>21 the original discussion. I don't know if it was</p> <p>22 present, we just didn't really cover it, or if it</p> <p>23 developed later for some reason. I don't recall.</p> <p>24 We simply talked about it in terms of here</p> <p>25 are the assets and in return we're getting a \$100</p>	<p>27</p> <p>1 but narrowing. And so there was enough, I guess,</p> <p>2 support there for somebody to conclude that we were</p> <p>3 near resolution and to effect it we would need this</p> <p>4 policy.</p> <p>5 But your question was do I have knowledge of</p> <p>6 UBS being aware of the policy, no, I don't have</p> <p>7 specific knowledge of that. But it was represented to</p> <p>8 us that there were negotiations in which UBS was an</p> <p>9 active participant.</p> <p>10 Q And it was Mr. Sevilla that made</p> <p>11 representations that UBS was an active participant at</p> <p>12 this time?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 MS. McLAUGHLIN: We can take Exhibit 1 down,</p> <p>15 please. Could we please put Exhibit 2 up instead.</p> <p>16 (Deposition Exhibit 2, Purchase Agreement</p> <p>17 August 7, 2017, marked for identification.)</p> <p>18 Q Okay. Exhibit 2 is a seven-page document,</p> <p>19 it's entitled "Purchase Agreement," and it's dated as</p> <p>20 of August 7th, 2017. Do you see Exhibit 2 on your</p> <p>21 screen?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q And this purchase agreement lists Sentinel</p> <p>24 Reinsurance Limited as the purchaser. Do you see</p> <p>25 that?</p>
<p>26</p> <p>1 million policy. Now, clearly the \$25 million</p> <p>2 component, you know, developed at some point. I just</p> <p>3 don't recall spending a lot of time on it during the</p> <p>4 original discussion.</p> <p>5 Q Had Mr. Sevilla's instructions been to</p> <p>6 transfer all the assets at the relevant funds?</p> <p>7 A Yes, that was -- that's my recollection,</p> <p>8 yes.</p> <p>9 Q And in that instruction Mr. Sevilla wanted</p> <p>10 all funds or all assets transferred regardless of the</p> <p>11 value of those assets?</p> <p>12 A That's right, yes.</p> <p>13 Q Do you know, based on your conversation with</p> <p>14 Mr. Sevilla, if UBS knew about this insurance policy?</p> <p>15 A I can't say specifically about the policy</p> <p>16 itself. It was my understanding -- you know, it's two</p> <p>17 steps. The first is you have to reach the settlement</p> <p>18 and then you have the payment where the policy comes</p> <p>19 in.</p> <p>20 I mean, I think during the conversations it</p> <p>21 was very clear to us, or at least made very clear to</p> <p>22 us, that UBS was actively involved in the negotiations</p> <p>23 because at the time it was -- the only rotating item</p> <p>24 was the bid and the ask. The difference between what</p> <p>25 we were offering and what UBS was asking was material</p>	<p>28</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q And it also lists three Highland entities as</p> <p>3 the sellers: Highland CDO Opportunity Master Fund,</p> <p>4 L.P., Highland CDO Holding Company and Highland</p> <p>5 Special Opportunities Holding Company. Do you see</p> <p>6 that?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Prior to preparing for this deposition, had</p> <p>9 you seen this agreement before?</p> <p>10 A I don't recall it. I don't recall it. No,</p> <p>11 I don't recall. But, again, that doesn't mean somehow</p> <p>12 maybe I got it sent to me by email. I don't recall</p> <p>13 seeing this specifically.</p> <p>14 MS. McLAUGHLIN: And if we could please turn</p> <p>15 to the last two pages, page 6 and 7. Starting at page</p> <p>16 6. Obviously you can't put both up.</p> <p>17 Q This is a page titled "Schedule A" with a</p> <p>18 list of assets. Mr. Stoops, you can still see this on</p> <p>19 your screen?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q The assets listed here are broken out by</p> <p>22 different entities starting with Highland CDO</p> <p>23 Opportunity Master Fund L.P., correct?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Do you have an understanding as to what the</p>



<p style="text-align: right;">29</p> <p>1 third column is? It's titled "Traded Shares/Par." 2 <b>A Yes, I do.</b> 3 <b>Q</b> And what do you understand that column to 4 be? 5 <b>A</b> Well, so when you have -- when you have 6 securities such as stock, then you have shares, traded 7 shares. When you have bonds, you talk about it in 8 terms of par; that's effectively the face value. When 9 you have CLO equity, they typically -- it's not one 10 for one as you would a bond, but they typically use 11 the same concept for CLO equity, the par concept for 12 CLO equity for reference, and that's what this is. 13 <b>So "traded shares" refers to the number of</b> 14 <b>shares held in your certificated interest in a</b> 15 <b>vehicle; "par" represents in the terms, context of</b> 16 <b>bonds, the face value of original issuance, and for</b> 17 <b>CLO equity effectively the same, face value of</b> 18 <b>original issuance of your interest in the vehicle.</b> 19 <b>Q</b> Do you have an understanding as to who would 20 have determined these numbers put into that column? 21 Would that have been something your department put 22 together or a different department? 23 <b>A</b> I can't say specifically, not having seen 24 this before. But let me say it was readily available 25 on the intranet at Highland for anybody to use.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">31</p> <p>1 <b>were talking about.</b> 2 <b>Q</b> Okay. And your understanding was that the 3 assets would be transferred to pay UBS. Is that 4 correct? 5 <b>A</b> In the sequence of events, the assets would 6 be transferred to pay for a policy, a settlement 7 policy that would then pay UBS in cash if a settlement 8 or legal judgment were reached. There was that last 9 contingency in there. 10 <b>Q</b> And do you know whose idea it was to 11 transfer the assets to Sentinel and then to UBS if a 12 settlement were reached? 13 <b>A</b> Meaning whose idea was it to take out the 14 policy? Is that what -- is that your question? 15 <b>Q</b> Yes. Thank you. 16 <b>A</b> Yeah, I don't know. That preceded me, and I 17 wasn't involved in those conversations. 18 <b>Q</b> Okay. 19 <b>A</b> Meaning by the time I was sitting in that 20 conference room discussing it, the idea of the policy 21 was well in motion at that point, and I don't know the 22 origins of it at all. 23 <b>Q</b> And you've mentioned that Mr. Sevilla, 24 yourself and Mr. Swadley discussed how to transfer the 25 assets or the mechanics of it being the baskets were</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">30</p> <p>1 <b>Q</b> And the assets that are listed on this page, 2 do you recognize any of the assets listed here? 3 <b>A</b> Many, if not most or all, yes. 4 <b>Q</b> Where do you recognize them from? 5 <b>A</b> Well, this Aberdeen, Southfork, those are 6 all CLOs that Highland managed. Highland was the 7 advisor for these. The GSC, I don't recall that. 8 Greenbriar, Highland Financial Partners. Longstreet 9 was external. NexPoint is internal. PAM Cap is 10 internal, CLO or CDO. So it's just kind of a mixed 11 bag. And then others we sort of recognize just over 12 the years having to do the accounting for these 13 entities. These were the assets held by these 14 entities for a number of years. They didn't change, 15 so you would kind of see them over and over again. 16 <b>Q</b> And are the assets listed here similar to 17 the assets Mr. Sevilla was talking to you about in 18 that meeting in August 2017? 19 <b>A</b> I can't say specifically they were the 20 exact, but, yes, this is probably consistent with what 21 I would have expected to be the subject of that 22 conversation. This appears to be the listing -- as I 23 said, I think we broke out a list of assets to kind of 24 walk through how they would be settled, and this 25 likely would have been consistent with what was -- we</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">32</p> <p>1 all a different kind. Is that -- is that correct? 2 <b>A</b> Well, to his credit, Rick probably wouldn't 3 have been involved in the transfer of the assets. 4 That would have been primarily JP and me, JP Sevilla 5 and me. Rick was more interested in the what happens 6 next, the sort of tax implications. But, yes, we -- 7 JP Sevilla and I discussed the how to effect the 8 transfer of each of the different groups of assets on 9 the list. 10 <b>Q</b> So in your understanding, how much money was 11 to be transferred? 12 <b>A</b> Meaning the overall value of the assets? 13 <b>Q</b> Yes. Yes. 14 <b>A</b> Yes. Yes. 15 <b>Q</b> Sorry. What was your understanding of the 16 overall value of the assets to be transferred? 17 <b>A</b> So I think -- and that's why this one is a 18 little odd to me. I would have expected, quite 19 frankly -- is this the -- is this the original format 20 of the Schedule A? It wasn't changed in any way? 21 <b>Q</b> I can't answer that. I have no idea. 22 <b>A</b> Okay, fair enough. I would expect market 23 value to be on there as well; price and market value 24 to be on there as well. And, you know, collectively, 25 I've seen the number about 105 thrown around, 105</p>

33

1 million, and so clearly you're talking about a policy  
2 of 105 -- I mean, a transfer of assets worth 105  
3 million versus a policy of a hundred million. There's  
4 a slight difference there. And the way it was  
5 explained to us was due to the illiquid nature of it,  
6 of the assets, the overall asset pool and the time  
7 required to liquidate it, there was some concern of  
8 would we actually achieve the upper boundaries of the  
9 potential settlement number. And in the interest of  
10 time, this was the best solution.  
11 Additionally, there would also be expenses  
12 to be paid -- legal expenses, administrative expenses,  
13 et cetera -- around this, and that these assets would  
14 be used to pay whatever ancillary expenses, you know,  
15 remained going forward. Because that was one of my  
16 concerns was, you know -- one of my responsibilities  
17 at HFP was from time to time if I was presented with a  
18 bill to pay -- legal expenses, tax prep fees,  
19 whatever -- I used, you know, whatever cash was in the  
20 account to pay for it. And as represented to me, we  
21 would be transferring all of the assets, how would I  
22 pay expenses going forward in any capacity, you know,  
23 in whatever they are, the nature.  
24 And it was represented to me that Sentinel  
25 would be paying those expenses going forward, and I

34

1 was like BDO -- BDO is an accounting firm -- tax prep  
2 fees, yes, that would be paid by them. You know, they  
3 had offshore governance, they had directors, the CDO  
4 Holdco and HSC had offshore directors. Yes, that  
5 would be paid by them.  
6 So going forward I had no responsibilities  
7 to pay any expenses out of HFP and because there won't  
8 be any cash, yes, that's correct.  
9 So that's kind of -- that was the -- getting  
10 back to your question of what was my understanding of  
11 the value of the assets, that was the justification  
12 for the differential between the \$105 million fair  
13 value of the assets versus the potential outlay the  
14 insurance company would incur with respect to the  
15 issuance of this policy.  
16 Q And I think you said it in there, but just  
17 to make sure that we understand, in August 2017 you  
18 understood that these transfers were for a market  
19 value of approximately \$105 million. Is that correct?  
20 A That's generally my recollection, yes. I  
21 don't remember the precise number, but it was in that  
22 neighborhood, yes.  
23 Q Okay. And you also understand that \$105  
24 million is greater than \$25 million. Is that right?  
25 A Yes.

35

1 Q We didn't start beginning -- begin to  
2 discuss some of the transfers themselves. Do you know  
3 if any of the assets listed on page 6 of this  
4 agreement were ever transferred to Sentinel?  
5 A It was my understanding -- are you limiting  
6 the scope of your question to only page 6 or are you  
7 also including page 7?  
8 Q I started with page 6 only because it's on  
9 the screen. However --  
10 A Sure.  
11 Q -- you have a binder in front of you with  
12 the document in it, and so we can do page 6 and page 7  
13 at the same time.  
14 A It was my understanding that all assets on  
15 both page 6 and 7 were to be transferred.  
16 Q Do you know if in fact all assets on pages 6  
17 and 7 were transferred?  
18 A I've learned recently in just very recent  
19 discussions, as early as today, that no, apparently  
20 not all assets were transferred, which was news to me.  
21 Q But the goal was to transfer all assets,  
22 right?  
23 A That's right. That's right. That's again  
24 illustrated by my concern about how are we going to  
25 pay expenses for these funds going forward if there

36

1 were no assets left, and, you know, that was, again,  
2 we had, like, the two-hour conversation, that was part  
3 of it; Sentinel will pay all expenses for the funds  
4 going forward, okay.  
5 Q When this transaction was complete, your  
6 concern was that there'd be no funds at any of the HFP  
7 entities or the CDO entities. Is that correct?  
8 A That's correct, yes.  
9 Q So the assets then were pooled from those  
10 entities collectively. Is that correct?  
11 A They ultimately would have been pooled at  
12 Sentinel. They weren't pooled at Highland. We  
13 wouldn't have commingled them at Highland. But  
14 theoretically Sentinel pooled them and commingled  
15 them.  
16 Q And there are several entities listed on  
17 pages 6 and 7. We've got Highland CDO Opportunity  
18 Master Fund, Highland CDO Opportunity Fund, Limited on  
19 page 6. And then if we can go to page 7, it shows  
20 several more: Highland CDO Holdings Company, Highland  
21 Special Opportunities Holding Company, Highland  
22 Financial Corp. and Highland Financial Partners.  
23 So that's six total entities. Is that  
24 correct?  
25 A That's what's listed here, agreed, yes.

<p>37</p> <p>1 Q But the purchase agreement itself, if you</p> <p>2 look on page 1, was only entered into by three</p> <p>3 Highland entities. Is that true?</p> <p>4 A I'm -- not being involved in the purchase</p> <p>5 agreement, I -- I couldn't tell you ultimately who was</p> <p>6 a party to it. I don't believe I was involved. I</p> <p>7 don't recall seeing it.</p> <p>8 Q If we could go to page 1, please.</p> <p>9 The nice thing about these agreements is</p> <p>10 that they give you some of the answers.</p> <p>11 A Sure.</p> <p>12 Q So here up top in that first paragraph, this</p> <p>13 agreement only lists those three entities: Highland</p> <p>14 CDO Opportunity Master Fund, L.P., Highland CDO</p> <p>15 Holding Company and Highland Special Opportunities</p> <p>16 Holdings Company. Is that right --</p> <p>17 A Yeah. That's right.</p> <p>18 Q -- three --</p> <p>19 A Yes. That's right.</p> <p>20 Q And so is it correct that the entities of --</p> <p>21 excuse me, starting over.</p> <p>22 Is it correct that the assets of all the CDO</p> <p>23 Fund and HFP entities were put together under this</p> <p>24 purchase agreement even if the entity was not a party</p> <p>25 to the agreement?</p>	<p>39</p> <p>1 Q And who was Shawn Raver?</p> <p>2 A So the tax department basically had two</p> <p>3 functions. You had tax compliance, which is the</p> <p>4 preparation of tax returns, and that was Rick</p> <p>5 Swadley's sort of jurisdiction. It also had sort of a</p> <p>6 tax research or positions team and much smaller, and</p> <p>7 that was headed by Mark Patrick, a tax attorney, and</p> <p>8 Shawn Raver. Shawn Raver basically rolled up to him.</p> <p>9 You know, he was -- he was the -- he was in that</p> <p>10 group; Shawn Raver was in that group and reported to</p> <p>11 Mark Patrick.</p> <p>12 I'm only sort of hesitant in one sense.</p> <p>13 Shawn Raver, I don't think was an employee of</p> <p>14 Highland. I think he was like a contractor, 1099, who</p> <p>15 was there for like five years or something in that</p> <p>16 capacity. So that's why I'm hesitant to describe it.</p> <p>17 But technically he reported to Mark. He had a desk</p> <p>18 there. But I don't think he was an employee of</p> <p>19 Highland per se; I think he was an external</p> <p>20 contractor.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. On page 3 here, on the bottom of page</p> <p>22 3, do you see where it says, "The aggregate purchase</p> <p>23 price"? This is in the last paragraph. "The</p> <p>24 aggregate purchase price paid by Sentinel for the</p> <p>25 Assets was 25 million?"</p>
<p>38</p> <p>1 A Yes, it appears assets from entities in the</p> <p>2 HFP -- in the HFP complex were included in the</p> <p>3 agreement, while the entities themselves were not</p> <p>4 listed on page 1, yes, I agree.</p> <p>5 MS. McLAUGHLIN: We can take Exhibit 2 down,</p> <p>6 please.</p> <p>7 (Deposition Exhibit 3, Email chain September</p> <p>8 12, 2018, with attachment, marked for identification.)</p> <p>9 Q We'll briefly look at Exhibit 3. And</p> <p>10 Exhibit 3 is a six-page document. It starts with an</p> <p>11 email from Shawn Raver dated September 12th, 2018.</p> <p>12 And if you look on page 2, it attaches a document</p> <p>13 entitled -- or a memo entitled "Tax Consequences of</p> <p>14 Sentinel Acquisition of HFP/CDO Opportunity Assets."</p> <p>15 Do you see Exhibit 3 on the screen?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q And before you began preparing for this</p> <p>18 deposition, had you ever seen this memo before?</p> <p>19 A To my recollection, no, I don't recall</p> <p>20 seeing it. But, again, if you've got a document</p> <p>21 indicating something to the contrary, I would be happy</p> <p>22 to look at it to see if it reminds me. But I don't</p> <p>23 recall seeing this previously.</p> <p>24 Q Do you recall who Shawn Raver was?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>	<p>40</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q "The aggregate fair market value of the</p> <p>3 assets on the date of the Transaction was</p> <p>4 \$105,647,679."</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q And do you know how that full market value</p> <p>7 was determined?</p> <p>8 A The 105 million?</p> <p>9 Q Yes.</p> <p>10 A So Highland had a separate valuation team</p> <p>11 that was responsible for at least, on a monthly basis,</p> <p>12 coming up with or determining the value of all assets,</p> <p>13 quote/unquote, in the system, and so these assets were</p> <p>14 in the system and so they would have derived a value</p> <p>15 for them. So --</p> <p>16 Q Were you involved? Sorry, I didn't mean to</p> <p>17 cut you off.</p> <p>18 A No, I was not involved.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. On page 4 of this memo -- if we can</p> <p>20 flip one more -- it mentions here, "The IRS may</p> <p>21 attempt to characterize the transaction as a 'sham.'</p> <p>22 The focus of this argument would be on the fact the</p> <p>23 Seller Entities sold assets with a fair market value</p> <p>24 of 105,647,679 for a purchase price of 25 million.</p> <p>25 And this was done solely to fund the \$25 million</p>

Transcript of Clifford E. Stoops, II  
Conducted on April 27, 2021

11 (41 to 44)

<p style="text-align: right;">41</p> <p>1 premium required by the Policy. The obvious question 2 would be why sell all the assets if all was required 3 was 25 million." 4 Do you see those sentences in the bottom 5 paragraph? 6 <b>A I do. I do.</b> 7 Q Do you know if people thought this 8 transaction was a sham? 9 <b>A I don't know --</b> 10 MR. FEINSTEIN: Before you answer, please -- 11 it's Robert Feinstein again. I just want to note, 12 again, that the author of this memo is an attorney. I 13 understand he might have been an independent 14 contractor or whatever, but he was an attorney, and 15 I'm going to make the same observation again about not 16 asserting the privilege as to the memo and your 17 question, but thought it was appropriate, at least, to 18 note that this gentleman was an attorney. Thank you. 19 Q Mr. Stoops, do you know if people thought 20 the transaction was a sham? 21 <b>A This is the first time I've seen it even</b> 22 <b>sort of discussed in that potential context.</b> 23 <b>But I'll also note for the record that a</b> 24 <b>sham transaction is an IRS phrase, and so I don't know</b> 25 <b>that if you talk about it outside of the context of</b></p>	<p style="text-align: right;">43</p> <p>1 Q There's a few people listed on here. Katie 2 Irving is listed. Do you know -- do you know what 3 Katie Irving's role was? 4 <b>A I couldn't tell you specifically. She</b> 5 <b>was -- from my description, she was in the legal</b> 6 <b>department but was not a lawyer. I thought she came</b> 7 <b>from Big Four accounting, and we just sort of viewed</b> 8 <b>her as litigation support.</b> 9 Q And I'm going to ask you about several other 10 individuals, too. 11 <b>A Sure.</b> 12 Q If you know their title, that's great, but, 13 otherwise, just a general description of what you 14 understood their role to be is -- 15 <b>A Sure.</b> 16 Q Katie Irving, do you know who she reported 17 to in the legal department? Or the legal services 18 department you might have said. 19 <b>A I can't say definitively, but it was my</b> 20 <b>understanding I think she reported to Scott Ellington</b> 21 <b>directly.</b> 22 Q And Carter Chism is also on this email. Do 23 you know what his role was? 24 <b>A He was -- I believe at the time his role was</b> 25 <b>director -- or title was director of operations.</b></p>
<p style="text-align: right;">42</p> <p>1 <b>IRS discussions that many people would have used the</b> 2 <b>phrase "sham." But whatever -- no, I'm not aware of</b> 3 <b>any actual discussions around it. I don't recall any.</b> 4 Q Okay. Thank you. 5 MS. McLAUGHLIN: We can take Exhibit 3 down, 6 please. 7 Q We're going to turn to a few documents that 8 discuss some of the transfers in more detail. We'll 9 start with Exhibit 4. 10 (Deposition Exhibit 4, Email chain August 11 11, 2017, HCMUBS000563 and 564, marked for 12 identification.) 13 Q And Exhibit 4 is an email with the top email 14 dated Friday, August 11th, 2017 from David Willmore to 15 Carter Chism, Katie Irving, JP Sevilla and Isaac 16 Leventon, and then copying you and several other 17 people. Do you see Exhibit 4? 18 <b>A Yes.</b> 19 Q And do you recognize this email? 20 <b>A I don't recall it specifically, but I -- you</b> 21 <b>know, I don't recall it specifically, but, you know,</b> 22 <b>I'm -- I understand it.</b> 23 Q Any reason to doubt that you received this 24 email on August 11th? 25 <b>A No, no reason to doubt that.</b></p>	<p style="text-align: right;">44</p> <p>1 Q And what department did Mr. Chism work in, 2 if you know? 3 <b>A Settlement. He reported directly to me.</b> 4 Q Okay. And if we're looking -- well, we'll 5 start there's a few more names here. David Willmore, 6 do you know what his role was? 7 <b>A At the time senior manager for in fund</b> 8 <b>accounting. Translated, he did -- handled the books</b> 9 <b>and records for the hedge funds, and he was the group</b> 10 <b>leader, and he reported directly to me.</b> 11 Q I see you're catching on to these questions, 12 the second part that comes after. 13 Who is Chris Dunn, if you know? 14 <b>A Chris Dunn was sort of a junior accountant</b> 15 <b>at the time in the fund accounting team, and he would</b> 16 <b>have reported directly to David Willmore.</b> 17 Q And that leaves three people left. I think 18 we've got JP Sevilla listed here? 19 <b>A In-house legal -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.</b> 20 Q Please. After you. 21 <b>A JP Sevilla, my understanding, in-house legal</b> 22 <b>counsel, a lawyer, reporting, it's my understanding,</b> 23 <b>to Scott Ellington.</b> 24 Q And did you have an understanding about 25 Isaac Leventon's role at the time?</p>

<p>45</p> <p>1 A Also in-house legal counsel, presumably 2 assistant general counsel, reporting to Scott 3 Ellington. 4 Q And the last name I believe is Thomas 5 Surgent. Do you understand what his role was? 6 A Chief compliance officer for Highland, also 7 reporting to Tom -- I mean to Scott Ellington. 8 Q Was it -- 9 A He was also -- I'm sorry, I don't mean to 10 cut you off but I need to modify it. I think he had 11 two titles. He was maybe also assistant general 12 counsel or something like that, and he had kind of a 13 dual role, a split role. He was CCO, plus this sort 14 of assistant general counsel or deputy. Maybe that 15 was it. Maybe that was it. Deputy general counsel, 16 something like that, something elevated higher than 17 the assistant general counsel that Isaac had, but 18 lower than Scott's title of, you know, whatever, 19 general counsel or chief legal; yeah, I think general 20 counsel. 21 So I think Scott -- I mean Thomas was deputy 22 general counsel, something like that, and CCO. 23 Q Thank you. 24 We'll start at the bottom email, which is 25 the earliest in time, from Kate Irving to Carter</p>	<p>47</p> <p>1 room -- rephrase. That's the "why." The "what" is I 2 seem to recall instructing Carter to reply to this 3 email with these people on there so that everybody was 4 aware of what we were being instructed to do. 5 And you see Thomas Surgent, he represents 6 the compliance group. You see Frank Waterhouse, he 7 was my boss. And then obviously David and Chris 8 because they would have been handling the cash 9 transactions. And then you also see -- that's a good 10 point. I don't recall why Isaac would have been 11 added. Clearly, to some degree, he had some 12 involvement. I don't recall what. But clearly, to 13 some degree, he was added for some reason, presumably 14 because he had some level of involvement. Maybe 15 because it was HFP. I can only speculate on why Isaac 16 was added. 17 Q I think I heard you say that you had asked 18 Mr. Chism to copy these people on the email. Is that 19 right? 20 A Correct. 21 Q Why did you want this variety of people 22 copied on the email? 23 A Just the magnitude of it. Again, I wasn't 24 familiar with this sort of policy. It involved 25 legal -- outstanding legal matter, and it involved the</p>
<p>46</p> <p>1 Chism. Do you see where Ms. Irving wrote, "Sentinel 2 wiring instructions for cash arising from transaction 3 are below"? 4 A Yes. 5 Q The transaction here is the one that you 6 were made aware of in August 2017 in the conference 7 room with Mr. Sevilla. Is that right? 8 A Presumably, yes, it would have been in this 9 context. 10 Q And what allows you to make that 11 presumption? 12 A Well, it says on the subject line "Sentinel 13 wiring info." It was around that time frame, and she 14 says, "Sentinel wiring instructions from cash arising 15 from transaction" -- "from transaction," you know, the 16 transfer, "are below." 17 Q And in the next email, Mr. Chism responds, 18 and as he does, he copies in several additional people 19 to the email. Do you recall why Thomas Surgent, 20 yourself, Frank Waterhouse, David Willmore and Chris 21 Dunn, Isaac Leventon were all added to this email? 22 A I seem to recall it was on my instruction. 23 Q I'm sorry, what do you mean by that, it was 24 on your instruction? 25 A Well, we had just sat in a conference</p>	<p>48</p> <p>1 transfer of these assets, and so it was let's just 2 make sure everybody is aware of this. It was moving 3 that quickly, right. And it was, hey, get started on 4 this, we need to start making this happen, so let's 5 just everybody know that this is what's going on. 6 Sort of a fail-safe, if you will, just a backup, just 7 so that everybody knows what's being asked of us. 8 And as you can see, Carter used some very 9 specific language to make it very clear. 10 Q Mr. Chism in this email had written, "Please 11 confirm this serves as instruction to wire cash from 12 all HFP Funds and all CDO Funds to the account listed 13 in the instructions below." 14 A Um-hmm. 15 Q Do you see the middle email there? 16 A I do. 17 Q And who was Mr. Chism asking for this 18 confirmation from? 19 A Presumably Katie, JP or Isaac, any 20 combination of those three. 21 Q He was asking for confirmation from the 22 legal department. Is that right? 23 A That's correct, yes. 24 Q Looking at the top email, one more up, 25 Mr. Willmore responded and said, "FYI, I've entered</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">49</p> <p>1 wires to move all of CDO Fund's cash to Sentinel." Do 2 you see that spot? 3 <b>A I do.</b> 4 Q This indicated to you that Mr. Willmore had 5 completed the steps to move all cash assets from CDO 6 Funds to Sentinel, right? 7 <b>A Not quite. So Highland had a proprietary</b> 8 <b>wire system where an accountant would go in and enter</b> 9 <b>the wire to go, and then that wire would then be</b> 10 <b>approved, and then those instructions would then go to</b> 11 <b>the bank. So think of this as, like, step one of</b> 12 <b>three or four. And all David is saying is, I've</b> 13 <b>entered the wires into our system.</b> 14 Q And do you recall who they would be approved 15 by when entered into that system? 16 <b>A I don't, I don't recall. It was over 7</b> 17 <b>million. I think at the time maybe only Frank had the</b> 18 <b>ability to approve wires that large. That's -- it</b> 19 <b>should be in the system. Anybody should be able to go</b> 20 <b>look at it. But I don't recall it specifically.</b> 21 Q A wire over 7 million, though, was -- 22 <b>A No, no, technically -- technically -- I</b> 23 <b>mixed my words. I think the threshold was 5 million.</b> 24 <b>So because this one was 7 million, I think that was</b> 25 <b>the threshold and Frank would have to go -- Frank</b></p>	<p style="text-align: right;">51</p> <p>1 <b>like, I can find it.</b> 2 Q We're looking at Schedule A on page 6. 3 <b>A This was the PSA?</b> 4 Q Yes, the PSA. 5 <b>A If you'll give me a minute.</b> 6 THE TECHNICIAN: Ms. McLaughlin, would you 7 like me to pull it up? 8 THE WITNESS: No, thank you. I'll be there 9 in 30 seconds, so... 10 MR. THORNTON: I've written Exhibit 2 on the 11 back of the divider page. 12 <b>A Okay. I've got it. I've got it. I've got</b> 13 <b>it in front of me.</b> 14 Q And on page 6 -- 15 <b>A It's the same numbers, yes.</b> 16 Q Sorry? 17 <b>A I answered your -- but you need to put the</b> 18 <b>question on the record. So, I'm sorry, I cut you off.</b> 19 <b>Go ahead and finish your question.</b> 20 Q On page 6 do you see a transfer of a cash 21 amount for approximately \$7.8 million listed? 22 <b>A I don't see a transfer. I see a listing of</b> 23 <b>a cash balance for that amount.</b> 24 Q Thank you. And the cash transfer -- let me 25 get the words right here with you. The cash amount</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">50</p> <p>1 <b>would have to be the one to approve it.</b> 2 Q And did Frank have to seek approval from 3 anyone else, if you recall? 4 <b>A I don't know. Frank would have done that on</b> 5 <b>his own.</b> 6 Q In the next line here Mr. Willmore wrote 7 there were two wires, one for approximately 7.8 8 million and he lists the second number of 9 approximately 2.3 million? 10 <b>A Um-hmm.</b> 11 Q Do you see that line? 12 <b>A I do.</b> 13 Q These two wires were pursuant to the 14 purchase agreement. Is that correct? As you now 15 understand, these two wires were pursuant to the 16 purchase agreement? 17 <b>A Presumably, yes.</b> 18 Q I know you have a binder of exhibits there. 19 So in the binder you look at Exhibit 2. 20 <b>A Yeah, unfortunately, it's in a different</b> 21 <b>order. If you could help me reference it, I could</b> 22 <b>find it.</b> 23 THE TECHNICIAN: Would you like me to pull 24 Exhibit 2 back up? 25 <b>A Or if someone can just tell me what it looks</b></p>	<p style="text-align: right;">52</p> <p>1 listed under Highland CDO Opportunity Master Fund is 2 roughly the same as what's listed in Mr. Willmore's 3 email in Exhibit 4? 4 <b>A Yes, agreed, roughly the same.</b> 5 Q 40 cents? 6 <b>A Right, agreed.</b> 7 Q The other number in Mr. Willmore's email, he 8 listed that 2.4 million, 2.3 million, approximate 9 number. 10 <b>A Um-hmm.</b> 11 Q Do you see a cash asset on Schedule A in 12 Exhibit 4 that matches that wire transfer? 13 <b>A Roughly. Immaterial difference, yes. 20</b> 14 <b>cents difference, but yes.</b> 15 Q And so looking at both of those, does this 16 confirm for you that the transfers of cash, or the 17 wires of cash that Mr. Willmore is referencing were 18 pursuant to the asset purchase agreement? 19 <b>A Yes, I think that's a very reasonable</b> 20 <b>conclusion, yes.</b> 21 MS. McLAUGHLIN: We can take Exhibit 4 down 22 and put Exhibit 5 instead, please. 23 (Deposition Exhibit 5, Email chain August 24 11, 2017, HCMUBS000567 and 568, marked for 25 identification.)</p>



<p>53</p> <p>1 Q And Exhibit 5 is another August 11th, 2017 2 email. This one, this time it's from you to Katie 3 Irving, JP Sevilla, Isaac Leventon and copying the 4 same set of other people who were listed on Exhibit 4. 5 Do you see Exhibit 5 on your screen as well? 6 <b>A Yes.</b> 7 Q And do you remember sending this email? 8 <b>A Not specifically.</b> 9 Q Any reason to doubt that you sent this 10 email? 11 <b>A No.</b> 12 Q The top email is you responding to David 13 Willmore and the wire transfers -- sorry, excuse me. 14 This top email is you responding to Mr. Chism's 15 request for confirmation of assets to be transferred 16 or wire transferred? 17 <b>A Um-hmm.</b> 18 Q You write here, "All cash has been sent." 19 Is that you confirming that all the cash from HFP and 20 CDO Fund entities had been sent to Sentinel? 21 <b>A Yes, I think that's what it's confirming.</b> 22 Q At this point, then, they've already been 23 approved in the internal -- 24 <b>A That's right. That's right.</b> 25 Q And we looked at the two wires in</p>	<p>55</p> <p>1 <b>electronically.</b> 2 Q And was this a reference to the -- to some 3 of the securities listed on Schedule A of the purchase 4 agreement? 5 <b>A Yes.</b> 6 Q And why were you working on it? 7 <b>A Because the settlement instructions would 8 have been different; they would have been settled to a 9 different account.</b> 10 Q And by working on DTC securities, you meant 11 working on getting them transferred to Sentinel. Is 12 that right? 13 <b>A That's right. That's right.</b> 14 Q And who directed you to work on this? 15 <b>A Well, it would have been involved in the 16 original -- it would have been a part of the original 17 conversation with JP. So, in other words, part of 18 a -- material part of our conversation, I recall we 19 got a list similar to this and we went through and 20 we're like, okay, these are physicals, these are DTC, 21 this is just cash. And so we were kind of bucketing 22 them.</b> 23 <b>And you're seeing on your screen here the 24 different buckets. Cash is pretty easy; we just 25 covered that. DTC would have been -- we would have</b></p>
<p>54</p> <p>1 Mr. Willmore's email already, but it seems here that 2 you're referencing additional cash wires as well. Is 3 that right? 4 <b>A Yes.</b> 5 Q Do you have a general sense of what other 6 cash wires had been executed? 7 <b>A Going back to your Schedule A, it would be 8 in Exhibit 6, I think it would be the cash balances 9 listed for the HFP entities.</b> 10 Q Are you looking at Exhibit 2, I think, the 11 asset purchase agreement? You mentioned Exhibit 6 -- 12 <b>A I see schedule -- I see Schedule A at the 13 top. It's the list of assets.</b> 14 Q Oh, got it. Schedule A of Exhibit 2. 15 You're looking at the HFP entities on page 7? 16 <b>A Yes. Yes.</b> 17 Q You also mention in your email working on 18 DTC securities? 19 <b>A Correct.</b> 20 Q What were DTC securities? 21 <b>A Depository Trust Company. It's basically 22 electronic transfers. So, you know, any sort of 23 publicly traded securities, traded DTC. So you can go 24 in and just flip them from one DTC account to another. 25 So think of just publicly traded traded</b></p>	<p>56</p> <p>1 <b>sent instructions to the brokers and just changed them 2 from one DTC account to another, and then what was 3 left would be the physical, the investments that are 4 in sort of what we call physical form.</b> 5 <b>And so this is just a way of saying we've 6 covered one grouping, we're working on the second 7 grouping, and for the third grouping we're still 8 waiting for legal to provide us with how you want to 9 do this, where do you want us to deliver them. We 10 would have to instruct -- we would have to instruct 11 our custodian to deliver physicals to another 12 custodian.</b> 13 Q And do you know if legal did provide those 14 delivery instructions? 15 <b>A Presumably. I can't recall specifically who 16 or when or what they were, but presumably, yes.</b> 17 Q Do you recall who in legal would have given 18 that instruction? 19 <b>A I -- I can't say definitively. I can 20 only -- I can only speculate.</b> 21 Q Well, it's been a number of years, so -- 22 <b>A It has been.</b> 23 Q -- it's understandable. What would you have 24 done once you had the delivery instructions? 25 <b>A For DTC or physicals?</b></p>

<p>57</p> <p>1 Q For the physicals.</p> <p>2 A Yeah, so realistically this also would have</p> <p>3 been covered by Carter's team as well. This was kind</p> <p>4 of standard. You know, Highland was a CLO manager who</p> <p>5 both managed CLOs but also purchased them. And it</p> <p>6 wasn't uncommon for certain CLO interests, especially</p> <p>7 equities, to be -- the certificated interest to be in</p> <p>8 the form of physical securities.</p> <p>9 And so if you were sort of breaking it up or</p> <p>10 selling them whole or partial, you would have to</p> <p>11 inform the custodian bank holding them that we want</p> <p>12 them transferred in the name of this entity to this</p> <p>13 new custodian. And so they would have to</p> <p>14 re-certificate the interest in the new beneficiary's</p> <p>15 name and then transfer the physicals to that custodial</p> <p>16 bank.</p> <p>17 Q And did --</p> <p>18 A So, in other words, simply put, cash could</p> <p>19 go in an hour or two. DTC securities could go in a</p> <p>20 couple of hours. Physicals likely would have taken</p> <p>21 one to two to three weeks to get them all covered.</p> <p>22 Q And you knew to transfer the physicals</p> <p>23 because of your conversation with Mr. Sevilla as well.</p> <p>24 Is that right?</p> <p>25 A That's right. That's right.</p>	<p>59</p> <p>1 A That's correct.</p> <p>2 MS. McLAUGHLIN: We can take Exhibit 5 down,</p> <p>3 please.</p> <p>4 Q If the goal is to make the fund's assets</p> <p>5 liquid, why did they need to transfer cash to</p> <p>6 Sentinel?</p> <p>7 A Any answer I give you would be purely</p> <p>8 speculation. I wasn't involved in any component of</p> <p>9 the negotiations or discussions around the decision of</p> <p>10 that. I could speculate if you want me to, but it</p> <p>11 would be entirely speculation.</p> <p>12 MR. THORNTON: Let's don't speculate.</p> <p>13 Q You can't think of a good reason why you</p> <p>14 would need to transfer cash to Sentinel if the goal</p> <p>15 was to make the funds more liquid, can you?</p> <p>16 A The good reason would be as a partial</p> <p>17 payment on the premium. But obviously there's a</p> <p>18 deficit in there, a difference in there, between the</p> <p>19 apparent 25 million or hundred million, whichever</p> <p>20 number you want to focus on, and the cash balance.</p> <p>21 And I say that because honestly I don't</p> <p>22 recall at the time the significance of that 25</p> <p>23 million. We were just sort of viewing it as 105 for a</p> <p>24 hundred. But, you know, I get the math now clearly.</p> <p>25 And the differential between whatever the total</p>
<p>58</p> <p>1 Q I think you had mentioned earlier that there</p> <p>2 was 105 million market value of the assets and they</p> <p>3 needed to be discounted for being illiquid. Was that</p> <p>4 your understanding?</p> <p>5 A That was the explanation provided to me.</p> <p>6 Q Is cash a liquid asset?</p> <p>7 A Let me make sure I heard you correctly. Are</p> <p>8 you asking is cash "a liquid" or are you saying is</p> <p>9 cash "illiquid"?</p> <p>10 Q Well, the former. To make it very clear,</p> <p>11 would cash be a liquid or --</p> <p>12 A Let me describe it. Cash is considered very</p> <p>13 liquid. It's the most liquid form of asset you can</p> <p>14 have, basically.</p> <p>15 Q And so on Schedule A in Exhibit 2, when</p> <p>16 there's a cash transfer of approximately \$7.8 million,</p> <p>17 would that need to be discounted for being illiquid?</p> <p>18 A No.</p> <p>19 Q And the cash transfer of approximately \$2.3</p> <p>20 million, would that need to be discounted for being</p> <p>21 illiquid?</p> <p>22 A Presumably, no. No.</p> <p>23 Q And the same is true for any cash assets</p> <p>24 listed on page 7 of Schedule A, they would not need to</p> <p>25 be discounted for being illiquid. Is that correct?</p>	<p>60</p> <p>1 cash -- aggregate cash value is in that premium was</p> <p>2 presumably going to be made up by the perceived</p> <p>3 liquidation value of the remaining assets.</p> <p>4 Q Is it correct, then, if I'm understanding</p> <p>5 this right, everything listed on Schedule A that is</p> <p>6 not cash value needed to make up the differential</p> <p>7 between the value of the cash and the \$25 million?</p> <p>8 A That's me speculating, that's entirely me</p> <p>9 speculating. As represented to me, there were going</p> <p>10 to be expenses to be paid. So maybe a part of the</p> <p>11 understanding was they also needed excess cash to pay,</p> <p>12 you know, whatever fees or expenses they were</p> <p>13 expecting to incur. I just simply don't know.</p> <p>14 I can tell you what was represented to me,</p> <p>15 which was all of this is with respect to the payment</p> <p>16 and application of that policy, and we didn't really</p> <p>17 get into a -- we didn't really get into extensively,</p> <p>18 well, how are you coming up with the net present value</p> <p>19 of that or represent this or -- that was -- that was</p> <p>20 an obligation of the dealmakers, you know, the people</p> <p>21 responsible for negotiating the principal terms of the</p> <p>22 agreement.</p> <p>23 Q And so you were just accepting the</p> <p>24 information that was presented to you by Mr. Sevilla</p> <p>25 in that August meeting. Is that right?</p>

<p>61</p> <p>1 A That's right, with some follow-up questions 2 on -- on understanding it better and clarification. 3 But, yes, I was acting on the legal instruction from 4 counsel. I was acting on instructions from legal 5 counsel. 6 Q And the instructions from legal counsel was 7 to transfer all of the assets of CDO Funds and HFP 8 Funds to Sentinel so they would be left with no 9 assets? 10 A Yes. 11 Q Were the HFP Funds and CDO Funds to be left 12 with liability still? 13 A As I understood it, the only remaining 14 liability would be that owed to UBS. All operational 15 liabilities, i.e., fees and expenses for tax prep, 16 corporate governance, would be paid by Sentinel. So 17 in theory the only thing left on the books would be -- 18 would be the obligation owed to UBS. 19 Q Is it typical in a transaction to have all 20 the liability kept on an entity's books or the 21 liability to UBS kept on an entity's books but all the 22 assets transferred away? 23 A Please bear in mind this was my first 24 experience with a transaction like this, so I'm 25 certainly in no position to tell you what's typical in</p>	<p>63</p> <p>1 a while, but under two conditions; you have a legal 2 court order absolving, you know, absolving the entity 3 of the liability, or you have a settlement agreement 4 between the two parties in which the person to which 5 the liability is owed releases, you know, the other 6 party for the liability. Only in those two conditions 7 do you do that, do you remove the liability. 8 So given the fact that we didn't have a 9 settlement agreement that had been signed, we couldn't 10 at the time remove the liability. So, in other words, 11 the different steps of the transaction governed and 12 influenced the accounting results being presented. 13 Q And a decision to move approximately \$105 14 million in value would have been a decision that 15 involved everyone throughout Highland Capital at the 16 highest levels. Is that correct? 17 A Presumably, yes. Given the magnitude of the 18 decision, the implications and the size of the 19 transaction, yes. 20 Q And the \$105 million value is the value 21 presented to you by Mr. Sevilla. Is that how you got 22 that number? 23 A I don't recall specifically. I don't recall 24 specifically. It may have been -- it may have been 25 we -- we pulled it up out of the system. Like I said,</p>
<p>62</p> <p>1 these types of transactions. 2 It's a -- it's a reasonable question and 3 one -- that's where we spent more of our time also 4 exploring, trying to understand it. We were trying to 5 understand would I have a policy on my books. In 6 other words, do I transfer these assets and I get a 7 \$50 million policy, you know, the payout, \$50 million, 8 I get a receivable. 9 And that's when it was explained to us: 10 you'll never see that cash at HFP. Well, why not? 11 Well, the way it's going to work operationally is the 12 cash will go from Sentinel directly to UBS after an 13 agreement has been, you know, executed. So, in other 14 words, it won't go to HFP and HFP paid them. It will 15 be assumed by that. 16 So even if you had an asset -- even if you 17 had an asset like a receivable, you effectively wind 18 up impairing it because you'll never realize that 19 asset, and so the net result is the same. In other 20 words, because you're never going to see that cash, 21 you wouldn't show a \$50 million receivable, because 22 you're never going to see it. So the net result is no 23 assets and just the liability. 24 And the reason for that is under GAAP you 25 can only remove a liability I think under -- it's been</p>	<p>64</p> <p>1 the assets owned by each of the entities was readily 2 available to anybody and everybody at Highland, and so 3 we may have just pulled it up right then and there 4 on-screen or had someone print it out and give it to 5 us and we just looked at it. I don't recall that 6 specifically but... 7 Q You don't have any idea, then, if normal 8 processes were followed to ensure an accurate 9 valuation, you wouldn't have that information? 10 A My assumption would be that the valuation 11 would have followed the normal process. So if that 12 was the value assigned to them and I was asked to, you 13 know, testify, I would say, yes, I'm guessing they 14 followed the normal valuation process. I don't have 15 any reason to believe they didn't follow the normal 16 valuation process. Not to my recollection, no. 17 Q But it's just a guess, correct? You're not 18 positive -- 19 A Yes. 20 Q -- that they did follow -- 21 (Simultaneously speaking.) 22 A Yes, I -- it is speculation. 23 Q And would the highest levels of management 24 at Highland include Mr. Dondero? 25 A I can't say definitively. I was never in --</p>

<p>65</p> <p>1 that I recall, in a discussion with Jim in which I</p> <p>2 heard his approval or whatever. But, presumably, yes,</p> <p>3 it would include him. You know, the fact that he</p> <p>4 signed several of the documents, at some point</p> <p>5 presumably someone had to explain it to him.</p> <p>6 Q And you mentioned that Mr. Dondero signed</p> <p>7 several of the documents. If we look at the insurance</p> <p>8 policy at Exhibit 1, that is the 19-page document, and</p> <p>9 I believe the signatures are on the last page, page</p> <p>10 19.</p> <p>11 A Right.</p> <p>12 THE TECHNICIAN: Would you like me to pull</p> <p>13 that up, Ms. McLaughlin?</p> <p>14 MS. McLAUGHLIN: No, thank you.</p> <p>15 Q So you see where Jim Dondero signed on</p> <p>16 behalf --</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q -- of the three entities on the insurance</p> <p>19 policy?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q And we've already looked at, I believe,</p> <p>22 Exhibit 2, the purchase agreement to see that it was</p> <p>23 Jim Dondero who signed on behalf of all six Highland</p> <p>24 entities there as well?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>	<p>67</p> <p>1 who held the ownership interest in Sentinel?</p> <p>2 A No.</p> <p>3 Q Have you recently come to learn who held --</p> <p>4 who holds the ownership interest in Sentinel?</p> <p>5 A I recently, i.e., Saturday of -- this past</p> <p>6 Saturday read in some sort of filing a suggestion that</p> <p>7 it appears Dondero and Ellington through a series of</p> <p>8 offshore complex, offshore entities, owns a 70/30</p> <p>9 split on that. Anyways, that's, I think -- I'm</p> <p>10 summarizing what it said in the finding -- or in the</p> <p>11 filing.</p> <p>12 Q Were you surprised to read that Dondero and</p> <p>13 Ellington may hold 100 percent of the Sentinel</p> <p>14 ownership --</p> <p>15 A Yes. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q What was surprising about that to you?</p> <p>17 A I guess kind of generally through my normal</p> <p>18 operations, you sort of learned who owned what or what</p> <p>19 we were doing, and that never really surfaced for me</p> <p>20 that I recall, where it was, hey, this is their</p> <p>21 entity, as suggested in that filing I read on</p> <p>22 Saturday. So that was -- it seemed to be new</p> <p>23 information to me.</p> <p>24 And then obviously -- obviously then</p> <p>25 connecting it back to this transaction in the context</p>
<p>66</p> <p>1 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero typically signed</p> <p>2 things without reading them or understanding them?</p> <p>3 A I didn't witness him signing everything,</p> <p>4 but, no, for the most part he was pretty particular</p> <p>5 about going through things, especially of this</p> <p>6 magnitude. There might be kind of routine matters</p> <p>7 that he might not have. But of items of particular</p> <p>8 importance, he, in my opinion, would have been pretty</p> <p>9 specific and particular about the execution of those</p> <p>10 agreements.</p> <p>11 Q And what are you basing your opinion on?</p> <p>12 A I just -- involvement in other matters of a</p> <p>13 material nature. In other words, I have experience</p> <p>14 with him with other matters in which we would</p> <p>15 routinely go down to his conference room and sit in</p> <p>16 his conference room and discuss it in detail for some</p> <p>17 time.</p> <p>18 Q Mr. Dondero was also the portfolio manager</p> <p>19 of many of the Highland funds. Is that correct?</p> <p>20 A That's correct.</p> <p>21 Q And as a portfolio manager, would it have</p> <p>22 been typical to be involved in the decision making to</p> <p>23 transfer all of the assets out of a fund?</p> <p>24 A That would be my expectation.</p> <p>25 Q At the time in August 2017, were you aware</p>	<p>68</p> <p>1 of, you know, the subpoena I received and what I was</p> <p>2 reading, that's when it really sort of became a little</p> <p>3 bit more sort of surprising, if you will.</p> <p>4 Q You mentioned earlier that all trades or</p> <p>5 sales have to go into a system for approval?</p> <p>6 A The OMS, order management system.</p> <p>7 Q And what details about a transaction would</p> <p>8 go into the order management system for a related</p> <p>9 party, if you know?</p> <p>10 A Well, it's been a while, but it wouldn't</p> <p>11 necessarily per se be, hey, this is what we're in</p> <p>12 because it's a related party. The way it would work</p> <p>13 is you have the -- can you still see me okay?</p> <p>14 You have the same trade details in which,</p> <p>15 like, you have buyers, seller, seller, quantity,</p> <p>16 price, trade date, et cetera, and then that</p> <p>17 constituted an order. And so that system was</p> <p>18 monitored by compliance in which they had the ability</p> <p>19 to tag affiliated entities. And so to the extent</p> <p>20 there was an affiliated entity involved, it should</p> <p>21 have raised a red flag that only compliance could have</p> <p>22 cleared.</p> <p>23 Q And that was a Highland policy that</p> <p>24 compliance would have had to clear the flag if one was</p> <p>25 raised?</p>

<p>69</p> <p>1 A That's right, and only compliance. Nobody 2 in my group or anybody outside of compliance, to my 3 understanding, had the ability to clear those. 4 Q And a transaction where Dondero and 5 Ellington owned 100 percent of the interests in an 6 entity receiving assets, that would have had to be 7 flagged in this OMS system, to be clear? 8 A Well, that would have been dependent on if 9 at the time it went through the OMS Sentinel was known 10 to be an affiliate and someone had already flagged it 11 as an affiliate. If no one had flagged it at the 12 time, it would have just moved through the normal way. 13 Q And would you have had any reason to know if 14 a transaction was flagged to be a related party 15 transaction, would that have been -- 16 A It wouldn't have necessarily been a big 17 flashing light on my screen, but presumably it would 18 have impacted Carter's team, settlement, and maybe 19 Carter would have mentioned it to me. But if it got 20 cleared very quickly, meaning someone from compliance 21 cleared it -- in other words, it would have only come 22 up in the context of if we weren't able to settle any 23 of the transactions we were trying to. Carter likely 24 would have come to me and said, hey, we're sitting on 25 our hands because we're waiting for compliance;</p>	<p>71</p> <p>1 That's right. 2 MS. McLAUGHLIN: We'll take a look at 3 Exhibit 6, please. 4 (Deposition Exhibit 6, Email chain August 5 11, 2017, HCMUBS000642 through 644, marked for 6 identification.) 7 Q Mr. Stoops, are you aware of any assets that 8 were transferred to Sentinel other than the ones we've 9 been looking at on Schedule A of the purchase 10 agreement? 11 A I'm -- it's two -- it seems potentially two 12 different questions, so I'm trying to make sure I 13 answer both. I'm not aware of any other assets on 14 that Schedule A, but I can't -- I don't know for sure 15 which one of these these pertain to. I'd have to 16 cross-index it. But I don't know specifically which 17 ones these pertain to. 18 Q What do you mean by these ones? 19 A Whatever you're showing me -- you're showing 20 me a DTC or you're showing me application account 21 which needed to transfer the shares. It appears to be 22 setting up a DST account for some assets and I just -- 23 I don't know which assets. 24 Q Aside from this document, ignoring the 25 Exhibit 6 on the screen --</p>
<p>70</p> <p>1 apparently, this is an affiliate, and we're waiting 2 for compliance to clear it or instruct otherwise. 3 I don't recall any of that happening. 4 Q And that could be because compliance cleared 5 it very quickly and there was no reason for you to 6 know? 7 A Or it wasn't flagged as an affiliate at the 8 time the transaction went through, or, I mean, there's 9 a presumption on my part that someone entered it in 10 the OMS. The first step in this would be let's nail 11 down was it entered in the OMS. I just -- I simply 12 don't recall. 13 Trades were entered in the OMS outside of my 14 group. They would have been entered by the front 15 office, the PM, somebody, and then that's how they hit 16 our screens. 17 But just to reiterate, if it wasn't in the 18 OMS, clearly it wouldn't have tripped a flag, or if 19 the counterparty, Sentinel, hadn't been flagged as an 20 affiliate, it wouldn't have tripped it, and it would 21 have just sailed through, or someone could have 22 cleared it very quickly and it sailed through. 23 Q Okay. And only compliance could have 24 cleared it you said? 25 A That's my understanding, that's right.</p>	<p>72</p> <p>1 A Okay. 2 Q -- aside from anything in Exhibit 6, are you 3 just generally aware of any assets that were 4 transferred to Sentinel other than those listed on 5 Schedule A? 6 A No, no. It was my understanding that that 7 was to be the full list, that that was it. 8 Q And could there have been other assets that 9 were transferred that you were unaware of at the time? 10 A Sure. I guess, yeah. I guess so. 11 Q Now looking at the screen -- I apologize I 12 put it up too soon, but here on the screen we've got 13 Exhibit 6, which is an email chain dated August 11th, 14 2017 from Samantha Bennetzen. Do you see Exhibit 6? 15 A I do see Exhibit 6. 16 Q And you were not on this email, so you may 17 very well not be aware of this email or what's 18 included. But just to look at some of the content, 19 we're looking at this top email where it lists an 20 application for the NRESF account. Do you know what 21 the "NRESF account" would mean? 22 A So it appears they're looking to -- if it's 23 DST, I think DST was where we maintained our closed-in 24 fund shares, and it appears someone is asking to set 25 up a new account for the NexPoint Real Estate</p>



<p>73</p> <p>1 <b>Strategies Fund to transfer those shares into it once</b> 2 <b>this new application has been set up. But it isn't --</b> 3 <b>it isn't apparent in whose name this new account</b> 4 <b>should be transferred, you know, the beneficiary</b> 5 <b>account name.</b> 6 Q Okay. If we scroll down the document. 7 Thank you. 8 Just for context, this chain started on 9 August 11th. And we'll go up a little bit higher. 10 That's good, thank you. 11 Here Katie Irving writes to Brian Fuentes, 12 and she is talking about "appreciate your assistance 13 in getting Sentinel set up with DST account to take 14 transfer of CDO Fund NRSZX shares." Do you see that, 15 that sentence? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And in response to Katie -- if we can scroll 18 slightly up -- in response to Katie, Katie then sends 19 a follow-up, "as discussed, wiring instructions for 20 cash distributions are below." 21 A Um-hmm. 22 Q And lists a Sentinel Reinsurance Limited 23 account. Do you see that? 24 A I do. 25 Q Is it possible that this is the account</p>	<p>75</p> <p>1 it NRES, is that how you pronounce it? 2 A That's how we refer to it, sure, NRES. 3 Q The NexPoint Real Estate Strat - Z -- 4 A Yes. 5 Q -- NRES asset that is listed on Schedule A, 6 what entity is that asset listed under? 7 A Highland CDO Opportunity Master Fund. 8 Q On Exhibit 6, though, this email is 9 discussing transferring shares from Highland CDO 10 Opportunity Fund Limited. That would be a different 11 entity, correct? 12 A I -- I -- I can't say for sure. To me I 13 think this is just typed incorrectly. But I guess, 14 yeah, presumably it could be a different one. 15 Q Because on Schedule A there are no shares or 16 securities listed under Highland CDO Opportunity Fund 17 Limited. Is that right? 18 A That's right. That's right. 19 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Okay. We can take Exhibit 20 6 down, please. 21 And, Mr. Stoops, unless you're really hoping 22 to stay on the record a little bit longer, we propose 23 that we just take a short break so that we can go 24 through some notes and come back and conclude 25 afterwards. So does five minutes work?</p>
<p>74</p> <p>1 she's still talking about or that's still being 2 discussed at the top of this email chain? 3 A Yes. 4 Q And can we go back to the top of the email 5 chain. Based on the rest of this document, does it 6 look like these shares that are being transferred are 7 being sent to Sentinel? Is that a fair conclusion? 8 A It's a fair conclusion. 9 Q And we have account number and then a bunch 10 of numbers listed for Highland CDO Opportunity Fund 11 Limited. Do you see on Schedule A of the purchase 12 agreement any shares transferred from Highland CDO 13 Opportunity Fund Limited? 14 A Yeah, it says NexPoint C Com. 15 Q That's for Highland CDO Opportunity Fund 16 Limited? 17 A Right, right, right. At the top, but I'm 18 looking at the share names, the description. Yes, I 19 see Highland CDO Opportunity Fund at the top, but I'm 20 now trying to match it up with the shares they might 21 have been trying to transfer, and all I see 22 potentially one -- no. Here. Yes. Okay. No, here 23 it is. Yes. Okay, I see it. NRES, sure, NRESZ, 24 sure, it's on here. 25 Q And where you're looking on Schedule A, is</p>	<p>76</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: Fine with me. 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the 3 record. The time is 12 o'clock p.m. 4 (Recess 12:00 p.m. - 12:18 p.m.) 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 6 record. The time is 12:18 p.m. 7 BY MS. McLAUGHLIN: 8 Q Mr. Stoops, I think earlier today you were 9 saying how you were not aware in August 2017 whether 10 Sentinel was an affiliated entity with Highland 11 Capital. Is that correct? 12 A Yes. 13 MS. McLAUGHLIN: If we could, please, put up 14 a new exhibit. I believe it's marked as Exhibit 7. 15 (Deposition Exhibit 7, Email chain December 16 1, 2017, marked for identification.) 17 Q Yes. Exhibit 7 is an email chain with the 18 top email dated December 1st, 2017 from Scott 19 Ellington. Do you see Exhibit 7 on your screen, 20 Mr. Stoops? 21 A Yes, I do. 22 Q And we can scroll down a little bit. 23 You're not on the first or second email, but 24 you are on the third email on this page from Taylor 25 Colbert.</p>



<p>77</p> <p>1 Can we scroll back up, please. Right there</p> <p>2 is good. Thank you.</p> <p>3 Mr. Stoops, what role did Taylor Colbert</p> <p>4 have, if you know?</p> <p>5 <b>A So Taylor was a financial analyst, financial</b></p> <p>6 <b>fund accountant, same thing, just under different</b></p> <p>7 <b>reference, but same thing, a fund accountant who</b></p> <p>8 <b>reported directly to David Willmore, who reported</b></p> <p>9 <b>directly to me. And in his capacity he handled the</b></p> <p>10 <b>sort of monthly financial reporting obligations for</b></p> <p>11 <b>certain hedge funds.</b></p> <p>12 Q And the subject of this email was a Multi</p> <p>13 Strat Cash Projection, correct?</p> <p>14 <b>A Yes.</b></p> <p>15 Q And Taylor writes here, "As discussed,</p> <p>16 please see the updated file with Sentinel being</p> <p>17 presented as an affiliated investor." Did I read that</p> <p>18 right?</p> <p>19 <b>A Yes, you did.</b></p> <p>20 Q And you understand Taylor to be saying</p> <p>21 Sentinel and Multi Strat were affiliates. Is that</p> <p>22 correct?</p> <p>23 <b>A Yes, that appears what he's saying here.</b></p> <p>24 Q And do you have any understanding as to why</p> <p>25 Taylor was updating the file?</p>	<p>79</p> <p>1 describes to you in August 2017, all the assets from</p> <p>2 HFP and its subsidiaries were transferred to Sentinel</p> <p>3 regardless which entity they were held at. Is that</p> <p>4 right?</p> <p>5 <b>A Yes, that's my recollection, yes.</b></p> <p>6 Q And so is the same thing true for CDO Funds</p> <p>7 affiliates as well, assets were transferred from CDO</p> <p>8 Fund -- the CDO Fund family regardless of which entity</p> <p>9 they were held at?</p> <p>10 <b>A Yes, that's my recollection, that -- it's my</b></p> <p>11 <b>recollection those were the instructions, yes, and the</b></p> <p>12 <b>intent.</b></p> <p>13 Q And we mentioned a few times the UBS</p> <p>14 litigation that's been ongoing since about 2019. I</p> <p>15 believe you -- excuse me. I'll restart.</p> <p>16 Were you aware throughout the UBS litigation</p> <p>17 that UBS had been making information requests to</p> <p>18 Highland for financial information of HFP and its</p> <p>19 subsidiaries?</p> <p>20 <b>A So, forgive me, but just to be clear, I</b></p> <p>21 <b>think you said ongoing since 2019. I'm thinking you</b></p> <p>22 <b>meant 2009, agreed?</b></p> <p>23 Q Agreed.</p> <p>24 <b>A Okay. Okay.</b></p> <p>25 Q Since 2009 --</p>
<p>78</p> <p>1 <b>A I don't specifically recall. I can sort of</b></p> <p>2 <b>guess by the flow of the email, but I don't</b></p> <p>3 <b>specifically recall.</b></p> <p>4 Q And after this update, Sentinel was listed</p> <p>5 in the cash projection as an affiliated investor,</p> <p>6 correct?</p> <p>7 <b>A Yes.</b></p> <p>8 MS. McLAUGHLIN: We can take Exhibit 7 down,</p> <p>9 please.</p> <p>10 Q Just a few more questions about the</p> <p>11 conversation you had with Mr. Sevilla back in August</p> <p>12 2017. After your initial conversation, did you have</p> <p>13 any follow-up discussions with Mr. Sevilla about what</p> <p>14 he was asking you to do?</p> <p>15 <b>A I don't recall anything specific. But I do</b></p> <p>16 <b>know there were follow-up conversations, and it was</b></p> <p>17 <b>probably more about updates or clarification.</b></p> <p>18 Q Updates --</p> <p>19 <b>A For example -- for example, in one of my</b></p> <p>20 <b>emails we brought up as an exhibit earlier, I said</b></p> <p>21 <b>we're still waiting on delivery instructions for</b></p> <p>22 <b>physicals. So it would have been something along</b></p> <p>23 <b>those lines, we need to anticipate getting those to</b></p> <p>24 <b>us, or something along those lines.</b></p> <p>25 Q And under the transaction that Mr. Sevilla</p>	<p>80</p> <p>1 (Simultaneously speaking.)</p> <p>2 <b>A Yes, yes, yes.</b></p> <p>3 Q -- since 2009 --</p> <p>4 <b>A Sure.</b></p> <p>5 Q -- have you been aware of financial</p> <p>6 information requests from UBS?</p> <p>7 <b>A Yes. There were from time to time requests</b></p> <p>8 <b>for certain documents from UBS related to that matter.</b></p> <p>9 Q And were you ever entrusted with the</p> <p>10 responsibility of responding to those requests?</p> <p>11 <b>A As in sending them to UBS?</b></p> <p>12 Q Collecting them or sending them. Just at</p> <p>13 Highland, was it part of your role to have</p> <p>14 responsibility for --</p> <p>15 <b>A It sort of varied. From time to time I</b></p> <p>16 <b>might get involved, but then in others not at all. It</b></p> <p>17 <b>just sort of really varied on what the legal team had</b></p> <p>18 <b>available to it at the time. So it sort of --</b></p> <p>19 Q And your --</p> <p>20 <b>A Go ahead.</b></p> <p>21 Q Oh. And your responsibilities with UBS's</p> <p>22 document requests were limited to helping the legal</p> <p>23 team find certain documents. Is that correct?</p> <p>24 <b>A Generally, yes. Yes.</b></p> <p>25 Q You were not the person responsible for</p>

Transcript of Clifford E. Stoops, II  
Conducted on April 27, 2021

21 (81 to 84)

<p>81</p> <p>1 final collection or production of any documents to 2 UBS. Is that correct? 3 <b>A No. I would have turned everything over to 4 our legal team.</b> 5 Q And do you also recall that Isaac Leventon 6 was the person on the legal team that had the ultimate 7 responsibility for productions at that time? 8 <b>A That was my understanding, yes.</b> 9 Q All right. Mr. Stoops, we have, I think, 10 four questions here or five questions here left for 11 you. 12 You're testifying today pursuant to a 13 subpoena. Is that correct? 14 <b>A Yes.</b> 15 Q Would you have shown up without a subpoena? 16 <b>A I don't know. I hadn't thought about it. I 17 couldn't say for sure.</b> 18 Q And you're represented by counsel today, 19 right? 20 <b>A Yes.</b> 21 Q Who is paying for your counsel? 22 <b>A Me.</b> 23 Q Did anyone else offer to pay or reimburse 24 your legal fees? 25 <b>A No.</b></p>	<p>83</p> <p>1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, MONIQUE VOUTHOURIS, a Notary Public and 4 Certified Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey, 5 License No. X100834, do hereby certify that prior to 6 the commencement of the examination CLIFFORD E. 7 STOOPS, II, was duly sworn by me to testify the truth, 8 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. 9 10 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true 11 and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken 12 stenographically by and before me at the time, place, 13 and on the date hereinbefore set forth. 14 15 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative 16 nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the 17 parties to this action, and that I am neither a 18 relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and 19 that I am not financially interested in the action. 20   21 22 Notary Public of the State of New Jersey 23 My Commission expires April 8, 2024 24 25 Dated: May 5, 2021</p>
<p>82</p> <p>1 Q You also received a subpoena to produce 2 documents, right? 3 <b>A Yes. Yes.</b> 4 Q And you don't have any documents to produce 5 to UBS in response to that subpoena? 6 <b>A Based on my search, no, I don't believe I 7 do.</b> 8 Q And you conducted a search? 9 <b>A I did.</b> 10 MR. McLAUGHLIN: Well, with that, 11 Mr. Stoops, thank you very much. We appreciate your 12 time, and that's all we have today. 13 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. 14 MR. THORNTON: Thank you. 15 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Bob, you didn't have 16 questions, did you? 17 MR. THORNTON: No, no questions. 18 MS. McLAUGHLIN: I believe we can go off the 19 record, then. 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the 21 record. The time is 12:27 p.m. 22 (Time noted: 12:27 p.m.) 23 24 ***** 25</p>	